

State of Georgia

Wart L. Graham Commissioner

Department of Revenue

Suite 15300 1800 Century Woulevard Atlanta, Georgia 30345 (404) 417-2100

October 22, 2009



Re: Intangible Recording Tax Protest and Claim for Refund per O.C.G.A. § 48-6-76(c) in the amount of paid upon recording a Security Deed with the Clerk of Superior Court, on August 21, 2009. Parties are

(Borrower) and

(Lender)

Dear

I have carefully considered your intangible recording tax Protest and Claim for Refund per O.C.G.A. § 48-6-76(c) pursuant to the above-captioned matter. Your Protest and Claim for Refund plus copies of all associated documents were considered in the review. It is my determination that your Claim for Refund in the amount of bis upheld. The amount may be refunded by the Clerk of Superior Court,

O.C.G.A. § 48-6-65 provides, in pertinent part, that no tax shall be collected on that part of the face amount of a new instrument securing a long-term note secured by real estate that represents a refinancing by the original lender of unpaid principal on a previous instrument securing a long-term note secured by real estate if all intangible recording tax due on the previous instrument has been paid or the previous instrument was exempt from intangible recording tax.

Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 560-11-8-.05 provides, in pertinent part, that intangible recording tax is not required to be paid on that part of the face amount of a new instrument securing a long-term note which represents a refinancing between the original lender and original borrower of unpaid principal of an existing instrument, still owned by the original lender, if the intangible recording tax was paid on the original instrument or the original holder of the instrument was exempt.



Page Two

In the instant matter, qualifies as an "original borrower" and qualifies as an "original lender." The requirement stated in O.C.G.A. § 48-6-65 and Department of Revenue Rule 560-11-8-.05 has been met. Further, given that all tax due on the amount of "new money" advanced was paid when the instrument was recorded under Protest, because all intangible recording tax due had been paid when the original instrument was recorded on August 3, 2007, no further tax should have been collected on the existing principal balance at the time of recording.

A copy of this determination is being provided to the Clerk of Superior Court, that the money collected and deposited into an escrow account per O.C.G.A. § 48-6-76(b) may be refunded to the claimant according to law.

Sincerely,

Frank M. O'Connell

FOC/RJL/me

cc: Clerk of Superior Court,